



Summary Report on Carbapenemase Producing Enterobacterales (CPE)

May 2020

This is a summary report on CPE in Ireland¹ for the period May 4th to May 29th 2020.

1. THE REPORT IS BASED LARGELY ON DATA RELATED TO THE HSE ACUTE HOSPITAL OPERATIONS BUT ALSO INCLUDES DATA RELATED TO ISOLATES FROM OTHER ACUTE HOSPITALS AND THE COMMUNITY.



Antimicrobial Resistance &
Infection Control Programme

Key points.

- There were **38** new CPE patients identified in May 2020.
- 12,838 CPE surveillance samples were reported tested in HSE laboratories in April 2020.
- The provisional total of new patients for the first 22 weeks of 2020 is 203. The total for the corresponding period in 2019 was 271.
- The reduced number of newly detected patients with CPE and the reduced number of CPE tests are likely to be related to reduced hospital activity in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic.


Additional details

Week 19-22 (4th May 2020-29th May 2020)

- Total of **48** CPE isolates were received, **37** were newly identified CPE patients in this period.
- **2** Environmental isolates were also received.

Figure 1 – Number of newly detected patients with CPE by the National CPE Reference Laboratory Service per week.

This figure is based on data from the National CPE Reference Laboratory Service. It is intended that it be updated monthly.



This figure illustrates the total number of people newly detected with CPE each week in 2019 (orange) and 2020 (blue). The black line represents the trend in weekly numbers through 2019.

Table 1 - Hospitals with current outbreaks (as per April 2020 return for Business Information Unit (BIU), HSE)


This figure is based on data collated by the HSE Business Information Unit (BIU). It is intended that it be updated monthly.

HOSPITAL GROUP	HOSPITALS REPORTING CPE OUTBREAKS
Children's Hospital Group	No outbreaks reported.
Dublin Midlands Hospital Group	Tallaght University Hospital
Ireland East Hospital Group	Mater Misericordiae University Hospital St. Vincent's University Hospital
RCSI Hospital Group	Our Lady of Lourdes Hospital, Drogheda
Saolta Hospital Group	Galway University Hospitals
South / South West Hospital Group	Cork University Hospital
University Limerick Hospitals Group	University Hospital Limerick

(NOTE: **42 of 48 hospitals** have provided data returns to the question "Do you have an active/current CPE outbreak in your hospital during this month?")

Figure 2 – Number of CPE patients by year by sample site (& Number of invasive CPE cases notified to Public Health)

This figure is based on data from the National CPE Reference Laboratory Service. It is intended that it be updated annually.



Comment: This figure illustrates the number of newly detected people with CPE from surveillance samples (orange) and diagnostic samples (green) each year since 2013. The red line illustrates the number of CPE invasive infections (mainly blood stream infections) based on notifications to CIDR. The total number of CPE detected from blood stream infections in 2019 was 17.

The number of people with CPE first detected from surveillance samples has increased each year since 2013. The number of people with CPE first detected from diagnostic samples peaked in 2017, declined somewhat in 2018 and has increased somewhat in 2019. Some year to year fluctuation is expected.

In general isolates from diagnostic samples are likely to reflect clinical infection. Isolates from surveillance samples reflect detection of CPE gut colonisation in the absence of clinical CPE infection.


If most cases of CPE are detected from diagnostic samples this reflects a system in which relatively late detection of people with CPE in the context of clinical infection is the norm because the preceding asymptomatic colonisation is not detected. This suggests that interventions to control spread are being applied late in most cases.

Detection of most cases of CPE in surveillance samples reflects a system in which most people with CPE are detected relatively early in their contact with the healthcare system allowing early application of measures to control spread.

Figure 3 – Total numbers of CPE patients identified by Surveillance and Diagnostic samples per month (2017- May 2020)

This figure is based on data from the National CPE Reference Laboratory Service. It is intended that it be updated monthly.

Number of newly detected CPE patients identified by surveillance v diagnostic cultures 2017-present



Comment: This figure illustrates the number of newly detected people with CPE from surveillance samples (orange) and diagnostic samples (green) each month since the beginning of 2017. The red line illustrates the trend for number of people with newly detected CPE from diagnostic samples. The black line illustrates the trend for number of people with newly detected CPE from surveillance samples.

The number of people with CPE first detected from surveillance samples has increased while the number of people with CPE first detected from diagnostic samples had decreased.


In general isolates from diagnostic samples are likely to reflect clinical infection. Isolates from surveillance samples reflect detection of CPE gut colonisation in the absence of clinical CPE infection.

If most cases of CPE are detected from diagnostic samples this reflects a system in which relatively late detection of people with CPE in the context of clinical infection is the norm because the preceding asymptomatic colonisation is not detected. This suggests that interventions to control spread are being applied late in most cases.

Detection of most cases of CPE in surveillance samples reflects a system in which most people with CPE are detected relatively early in their contact with the healthcare system allowing early application of measures to control spread.

Figure 4 – Proportion of CPE isolated from people identified by Surveillance and Diagnostic samples per quarter 2016-2020

This figure is based on data from the National CPE Reference Laboratory Service. It is intended that it be updated quarterly.



Comment: This figure illustrates the percentage of newly detected people with CPE from surveillance samples (orange) and diagnostic samples (green) each quarter since the beginning of 2016. The red line illustrates the trend for percent of new detections of CPE from diagnostic samples. The black line illustrates the trend for percent of new detections of CPE from surveillance samples.

The percent of people with CPE first detected from surveillance samples has increased while the number of people with CPE first detected from diagnostic samples had decreased.

In general isolates from diagnostic samples are likely to reflect clinical infection. Isolates from surveillance samples reflect detection of CPE gut colonisation in the absence of clinical CPE infection.


If most cases of CPE are detected from diagnostic samples this reflects a system in which relatively late detection of people with CPE in the context of clinical infection is the norm because the preceding asymptomatic colonisation is not detected. This suggests that interventions to control spread are being applied late in most cases.

Detection of most cases of CPE in surveillance samples reflects a system in which most people with CPE are detected relatively early in their contact with the healthcare system allowing early application of measures to control spread.

The proportion of first isolates from diagnostic samples declined with increased surveillance consistent with improved control of CPE. This now appears to be stabilising around 10 to 15% of isolates from diagnostic samples with some quarter to quarter fluctuation.

Figure 5 - Number of CPE surveillance samples per hospital group & (Rate per 10,000 Bed Days Used)

This figure is based on data collated by the HSE Business Information Unit (BIU). It is intended that it be updated quarterly.



Figures 6 & 7: Number of Environmental isolates of CPE by location, by type of variant and by species (2018-2019)

This figure is based on data from the National CPE Reference Laboratory Service.

Comment: The transmission and spread of CPE in the acute hospital setting remains the key driver of new CPE detections. Since late 2018, there has been an increasing recognition that in addition to direct and indirect person-to-person spread, environmental reservoirs of these organisms in acute hospitals represents a significant source. Increasing numbers of hospitals are undertaking environmental testing in wards that are deemed potential high risk areas. Moist areas for example showers, sinks and toilets are the most common locations from which CPE have been detected. This figure provides a summary of CPE from acute hospital environments by site. The increases in 2019 (YTD) is likely to be largely related to increased awareness and testing.

Figure 6





Figure 7



(Figures 6 & 7 excludes carbapenemase producing organisms other than Enterobacterales and excludes CPE detected from sewage sampling)